

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,153	07/21/2003	Jens C. Thies	021028-0305107	5213
	90 11/01/2005		EXAMINER	
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP 1909 K STREET, N.W.			HAMILTON, CYNTHIA	
WASHINGTON			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1752	
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ſ.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,153	THIES ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cynthia Hamilton	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
	action is non-final.	propution as to the morite is	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
	n parte Quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, 40		
Disposition of Claims	·		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-24 is/are pending in the adapted to the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-24 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	•	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20050817.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Palent and Trademark Office	<del></del>		

Application/Control Number: 10/623,153 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 17, 2005 has been entered.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Does "ster" groups reference "ester" groups? Is this a misspelling or a change in scope? Thus, claim 14 is confusing. Further does "the amount of components having linking aliphatic "ester groups" reference only the A component? If so, the claim language is not clear at this point. There are other components which could have "aliphatic ester groups" linking in some fashion besides component A. The use of "component A" or "said component" would so limit the claim language if this is meant. Is the aliphatic ester group in reference to all parts of the composition which would have such a linkage? Thus, the limit of claim 14 is unclear at this point.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,153

Art Unit: 1752

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamura et al (WO 00/63272 A1). With respect to instant claim 14, the composition of Example 8 on page 44 discloses a species which anticipates the instant composition with all the required properties.

Page 3

10/30/05

5. Claims 1-14, and 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamura et al (WO 00/63272 A1). The compositions set forth in claim 3 of Yamamura et al wherein the choice of glycidyl group compound is made and is a selection such as that set forth of Claims 1-14, 16-18, and in Example 8 on page 44 make obvious the instant compositions in view of the components of 20-24

Example 8 because of the overlap of percentages for the specific compounds and the disclosure of a composition with ranges within except for instant component A the claimed invention. The closeness of 30% to 29% in Example 8 of Yamamura et al makes obvious such a close varience of from 30% to 29%. In the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists. *In re Werthheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 UAPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See particularly MPEP 2144.05.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-1331. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly can be reached on (571) 272-0729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cynthia Hamilton Primary Examiner

October 30, 2005

CYNTHIA HAMILTON